Robert D. Wyatt, Esq.
BEVERIDGE & DIAMOND
One Sansome Street
Suite No. 3400
San Francisco, California 94104

FILED

JUL 1 4 1994

Attorneys for Respondent Catalina Yachts, Inc.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION IX HEARING CLERK

UNITED STATES
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
REGION IX
75 HAWTHORNE STREET
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105

In the matter of:

CATALINA YACHTS, INC.

Respondent

Docket No. EPCRA 09-94-0015

ANSWER TO CIVIL COMPLAINT

ANSWER

1. Answering Paragraphs 1-11 of the Complaint, Respondent Catalina Yachts, Inc. ("Respondent") admits that (i) it is a "person" as that term is defined by 42 U.S.C. § 11049(7); (ii) it is the "owner or operator" of its plant located at 21200 Victory Boulevard, Woodland Hills, California; (iii) its Woodland Hills plant is a "facility" as that term is defined at 42 U.S.C. § 11049(4); (iv) the Standard Industrial Classification ("SIC") Code for its Woodland Hills plant is 3732; and (v) it employs more than 10 "full-time employees" as that term is defined at 40 C.F.R. § 372.3. Respondent is continuing to review its records and is at the present time unable to respond to the remaining allegations in Paragraphs

1-11 of the Complaint and, therefore, denies each and every remaining allegation. Respondent reserves the right to amend its Answer when it completes its review.

COUNT I

2. Answering Paragraphs 12-16 of the Complaint,
Respondent admits that it used acetone as a cleaning agent in
its manufacturing operations during calendar year 1988.
Respondent is continuing to review its records and is at the
present time unable to respond to the remaining allegations in
Paragraphs 12-16 of the Complaint and, therefore, denies each
and every remaining allegation. Respondent reserves the right
to amend its Answer when it completes its review.

COUNT II

3. Answering Paragraphs 17-21, Respondent admits that it used acetone as a cleaning agent in its manufacturing operations during calendar year 1989. Respondent is continuing to review its records and is at the present time unable to respond to the remaining allegations in Paragraphs 17-21 of the Complaint and, therefore, denies each and every remaining allegation. Respondent reserves the right to amend its Answer when it completes its review.

COUNT III

4. Answering Paragraphs 22-26 of the Complaint,
Respondent admits that it processed products which contained
styrene during calendar year 1988. Respondent is continuing to
review its records and is at the present time unable to respond
to the remaining allegations in Paragraphs 22-26 of the

3

Complaint and, therefore, denies each and every remaining allegation. Respondent reserves the right to amend its Answer when it completes its review.

COUNT IV

5. Answering Paragraphs 27-31 of the Complaint,
Respondent admits that it processed products which contained
styrene during calendar year 1989. Respondent is continuing to
review its records and is at the present time unable to respond
to the remaining allegations in Paragraphs 27-31 of the
Complaint and, therefore, denies each and every remaining
allegation. Respondent reserves the right to amend its Answer
when it completes its review.

COUNT V

6. Answering Paragraphs 32-36 of the Complaint,
Respondent admits that it processed products which contained
styrene during calendar year 1990. Respondent is continuing to
review its records and is at the present time unable to respond
to the remaining allegations in Paragraphs 32-36 of the
Complaint and, therefore, denies each and every remaining
allegation. Respondent reserves the right to amend its Answer
when it completes its review.

COUNT VI

7. Answering Paragraphs 37-42 of the Complaint,
Respondent admits that it processed products which contained
styrene during calendar year 1991. Respondent is continuing to
review its records and is at the present time unable to respond

3

to the remaining allegations in Paragraphs 37-41 of the Complaint and, therefore, denies each and every remaining allegation. Respondent reserves the right to amend its Answer when it completes its review.

COUNT VII

8. Answering Paragraphs 42-46 of the Complaint,
Respondent admits that it processed products which contained
styrene during calendar year 1992. Respondent is continuing to
review its records and is at the present time unable to respond
to the remaining allegations in Paragraphs 42-46 of the
Complaint and, therefore, denies each and every remaining
allegation. Respondent reserves the right to amend its Answer
when it completes its review.

RELIEF REQUESTED

9. Respondent hereby requests a hearing to contest the allegations in the Complaint and the proposed penalties for the alleged violation.

DATED: July 14, 1994

BEVERIDGE & DIAMOND

By:

Robert D. Wyatt

Attorneys for

CATALINA YACHTS, INC.

i:\user\cmn\wp\answer

2

3

8

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Helen Abraham, declare that I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action. I am employed in San Francisco, California and my business address is One Sansome Street, Suite 3400, San Francisco, California. I am readily familiar with the business practice at my place of business for the collection and processing of correspondence for hand delivery by messenger and/or by mailing with the United States Postal Service. On the date set forth below, the following document:

ANSWER TO CIVIL COMPLAINT

was placed for service in a sealed envelope to be delivered by messenger with postage prepaid and addressed to:

Regional Hearing Clerk
United States Environmental
Protection Agency
Region IX, RC-1
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105

David M. Jones, Esq.
Office of Regional Counsel RC-2-1
United States Environmental
Protection Agency
Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105

and said envelope was hand-delivered by messenger following ordinary business practices.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on July 14, 1994, at San Francisco, California.

Helen Abraham